STATE OF TENNESSEE

Office of the Attorney General RECEIVED



7003 AUG 18 PM 12: 46

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

PAUL G. SUMMERS
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND REPORTER

MAILING ADDRESS

P.O. BOX 20207 NASHVILLE, TN 37202 MICHAEL E. MOORE SOLICITOR GENERAL

CORDELL HULL AND JOHN SEVIER STATE OFFICE BUILDINGS

> TELEPHONE 615-741-3491 FACSIMILE 615-741-2009

Reply to: Consumer Advocate and Protection Division Post Office Box 20207

August 18, 2003

Nashville, TN 37202

Honorable Deborah Taylor Tate Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243

ANDY D. BENNETT

LUCY HONEY HAYNES

ASSOCIATE CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

RE: APPLICATION OF NASHVILLE GAS COMPANY, A DIVISION OF PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF ITS RATES AND CHARGES, FOR APPROVAL OF REVISED TARIFFS AND APPROVAL OF REVISED SERVICE REGULATIONS, Docket 03-00313

Dear Chairman Tate:

Enclosed is an original and thirteen copies of the Consumer Advocate's Direct Testimony of Dan McCormac. Also enclosed is a Joint Exibit of the CAPD staff. Kindly file same in this docket. Copies are being sent to all parties of record. If you have any questions, kindly contact me at (615) 741-8700. Thank you.

Sincerely

Timothy C. Phillips

Assistant Attorney General

Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record

#67856

Before the

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

IN RE: APPLICATION OF NASHVILLE GAS COMPANY,
A DIVISION OF PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.,
FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF ITS RATES AND CHARGES, THE APPROVAL OF
REVISED TARIFFS AND THE APPROVAL OF REVISED SERVICE REGULATIONS
DOCKET NO. 03-00313

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAN MCCORMAC

August 12, 2003

1	Q.	Would y	ou state	your name	for the	record,	please?
---	----	---------	----------	-----------	---------	---------	---------

- 2 A. My name is Daniel W. McCormac.
- Q. By whom are you employed, Mr. McCormac, and what is yourposition?
- 5 A. I am employed by the Attorney General's Office as Coordinator of 6 Analysts for the Consumer Advocate & Protection Division.
- Q. What is your educational background and what degrees and
 licenses do you hold?
- 9 A. I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from David

 Lipscomb College and I am a licensed Certified Public Accountant

 in the State of Tennessee.
- 12 Q. What is your experience in the field of ratemaking and regulatory accounting?
- I have 27 years of experience in the field of utility ratemaking and Α. 14 regulatory accounting including two and one-half years with the 15 Certified Public Accounting firm of Wilson, Work, Fossett & Greer 16 as the supervisor in the utility consulting segment. I served 17 sixteen years with the Tennessee Public Service Commission, 18 including one year as Technical Assistant to the Commissioners. 19 I have served seven years with the Office of the Attorney General 20 and two years as Chief of Energy and Water at the Tennessee 21 Regulatory Authority (TRA). While employed by the Commission 22

and the Attorney General's Office, I supervised the preparation of many utility rate cases and earnings reviews. As part of these investigations, we developed financial exhibits to present to the Commission or TRA. These investigations supplied evidence to the TRA to enable it to set just and reasonable rates for utility services. In addition, I participated in various special studies and helped in other cases in which I did not testify.

As the Technical Assistant to the Commissioners I observed hearings and analyzed the issues in each case from a technical perspective. I responded to the Commissioner's requests for expert assistance in evaluating and interpreting the financial evidence in the record. I also provided and checked calculations based on that evidence. In each position, my responsibilities have included making decisions on whether the information provided was adequate and suitable for deciding the questions presented.

My duties with the Consumer Advocate & Protection Division (CAPD) are similar, but also include the review of various tariffs filed before the TRA. I assist in the decision making process as to whether the terms and conditions of the numerous filings are

just and reasonable or whether additional evidence is needed to support the filings. When significant consumer interests appear to be in jeopardy, we investigate further and provide expert testimony before the TRA when needed.

Q. What expertise do you have related to the natural gasindustry?

A. Since 1976 I have been involved in auditing gas companies, reviewing testimony, tariffs and exhibits, negotiating rates, and preparing testimony and exhibits relating to various revenue, expense, and rate base issues of all major Tennessee gas distribution companies. I have prepared testimony in every major case involving a gas utility since my employment with the Attorney General's office in 1994.

Q. What is your assignment in this docket?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α.

I reviewed the projected revenues under the current rates as approved by the TRA in Docket No. 99-00994 in an order dated July 18, 2000. These base rates have been in effect since July 1, 2000 but have been adjusted by various Purchased Gas Adjustment factors, Gas Supply Realignment cost surcharges, and Incentive Plan reward surcharges. I reviewed the projected revenues under the Company's proposed rates, the forfeited discounts and uncollectible accounts ratios in the revenue

conversion factor, and the cost of gas projection. I supervised the review of Nashville Gas Company's (NGC) projected expenses for the attrition year ending October 31, 2004. I also supervised the review of the projected investments made to provide utility service in Tennessee, commonly referred to as the "rate base." In addition, I reviewed the proposed changes in tariffs and rate design. I will explain all adjustments to rate base and revenues and the associated changes to investments or expenses. I will also present an overview of the CAPD's analysis and present our recommended rate design.

- 11 Q. How are the investments, revenues and expenses determined 12 in the CAPD's analysis?
- 13 A. We analyze the reported financials, variances from previous 14 years, and recent trends to obtain a reasonable estimate of these 15 elements for the twelve months ending October 31, 2004.
- Q. Are these projections a reasonable basis for setting rates?
 A. Yes. The accepted and proven standard used to set rates is to

Yes. The accepted and proven standard used to set rates is to properly match revenues, expenses, and investment. The use of a reasonably anticipated and properly matched capital structure, revenues, investments, and costs assures NGC's investors a reasonable opportunity to earn a reasonable return on those investments.

Some projections like interest on customer deposits are easily computed. Other projections are more difficult. For example, NGC projected the cost of medical insurance to increase by 18%. Even though most unknown expense projections are based on the GDP price deflator, this projection appears to be a reasonable estimate due to recent premium increases and current trends in the business. We therefore did not challenge this increase in this case. Another example of a forward-looking estimate that reflects a major change due to recent events is the inclusion of a 90% increase in Gas Inventories since the last rate case in 1999. The projected increase from \$10 million in 1999 to \$19 million in this case (CAPD Exhibit, Schedule 3) reflects the most recently available data including NYMEX futures contract prices at the time we reviewed that data in mid July. The fact that these prices change every hour of every trading day does not make it an unreasonable adjustment. We try to use the latest estimates to recognize any major changes that would distort the projected earnings picture of this company. NGC's future earnings cannot be accurately projected without properly matching all revenue, expenses, investments and sources of capital.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. What were the conclusions from the Consumer Advocate's analysis?

We conclude that Nashville Gas Company's existing rates should be increased by about \$1,900,000. The results of the Consumer Advocate's analysis are presented in Exhibit CAPD and Exhibit CAPD-SB. The cost of service is summarized on Schedule 1 of Exhibit CAPD. Rates should be calculated on a Rate Base of \$258,662,000, an Operating Income at Present Rates of \$15,671,000 and a gross revenue conversion factor of 1.640709 as shown on CAPD Exhibit, Schedule 1. Rates should be increased to produce a fair rate of return of 6.5%.

Α.

Mr. Michael Chrysler will testify on the Company's proposed new incentive compensation plan and the Company's proposal to obtain funding directly from consumers for certain research and development costs. Mr. Mark Crocker will testify on other expenses and taxes. Dr. Stephen Brown will testify on the appropriate capital structure, cost of common equity, and return on rate base as summarized on CAPD Exhibit, Schedule 12 and supported in detail in Exhibit CAPD-SB.

- Q. Do you propose any major adjustments or corrections to the revenue projections or cost of gas presented by Nashville Gas Company?
- 22 A. Yes. First, I have eliminated the cost of gas from the cost of

- service as shown on Schedule 6. I have also adjusted for certain effects of a significant decline in the wholesale cost of gas since the Company prepared its case.
- Q. Please define gas costs and explain how NGC recovers these
 costs.
- Gas costs include the commodity cost of gas, storage costs and 6 Α. the cost to transport the gas from producers to local gas 7 distribution companies (LDCs). Tennessee's LDC's are protected 8 from most of the risks associated with increases in the cost of gas 9 purchased to supply the needs of consumers. Any price changes 10 are passed to consumers under TRA Rule 1220-4-7 which allows 11 the LDC to adjust the billing rate to keep pace with changes in 12 gas costs. The rule is intended to keep the Company's gross 13 profit stable by preventing the under collection or over collection 14 of gas costs. 15
- 16 Q. Please explain the base rate component of a customer's bill.

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. The most relevant factor in setting rates for Nashville Gas Company is the base rate. This rate is essentially the gross profit margin. The base rate or gross profit represents the difference between the total charges billed to consumers and the actual cost of gas. The base rate and other service charges should cover all just and reasonable operating expenses (other than gas costs),

- and taxes with sufficient residual to allow an adequate return on the Company's rate base.
- 3 Q. How is the base rate component designed?
- A. Base rates are designed to cover NGC's total costs and profit requirements in a normal year.
- 6 Q. How are rates adjusted to compensate for abnormal weather?
- A. The Weather Normalization Adjustment (WNA) allows LDCs to adjust base rates to compensate for abnormal weather. For example, if weather is colder than normal, rates are reduced to compensate for anticipated increases in sales. And if weather is warmer than normal, rates are automatically raised to compensate for anticipated decreases in sales.
- 14 Q. Do you have an opinion concerning the cost of service study
 15 presented by the Company to support a larger rate increase
 16 for residential customers?
- 17 A. Yes. The cost of service study presented by the Company is only
 18 one method of computing costs and the cost of service approach
 19 is only one method of setting rates. There are other methods of
 20 calculating cost and other theories for setting rates.
- Q. Do cost of service studies provide the best guidance on how to set rates?

A. No. The results may provide general guidelines in calculating costs under certain assumptions, but should only be used as a rough test of the overall reasonableness of the rate design.

Page 3 of Mr. Fleenor's testimony states that, "cost of service studies are intended only to indicate general and relative levels of profitability." He also states: "Because cost of service studies are based upon various assumptions and subjective evaluations, the resulting returns are only indicative and not definitive." Since the decision before the TRA is to find specific just and reasonable rates, a "general and relative level . . ." study presents only part of the evidence.

In previous cases, NGC's cost of service estimates were based on the "zero or minimum size main theory." However, page 22 of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Gas Distribution Rate Design Manual also states that the "zero or minimum size main theory" is "controversial." For example, if only one assumption is changed (the main and service cost allocation method), the results of the cost study would change remarkably. The results of any cost of service study are relative to the assumptions used in preparing the study.

Page 30 of the manual states that:

A cost of service study is a series of choices regarding potentially **controversial** methods of identifying and allocating costs incurred by a utility. This illustrative study represents one possible means of computing class cost of service. **There are other equally correct methods**. (Emphasis added)

In addition, Page 57 states:

Gas rate design is **not an abstract application of economic principles**, but rather a practical exercise which affects customers in their daily lives. The rate designer should be aware that people need affordable gas to heat their homes and businesses need energy supplies which enable them to remain competitive. The rate designer should be sympathetic to these concerns while continuing to follow the basic rate design principles. (Emphasis added)

The cost of service study presented by the Company is an abstract application of economic principles, but it would not be practical to apply literally in setting just and reasonable rates. NGC did not file details supporting its estimation of the allocation of the cost of service in this case. However, such estimates are irrelevant because the current value of service analysis clearly shows that residential rates are already high.

- 25 Q. What important factors should be considered in setting 26 rates?
- A. I agree with the statement on page 9 of the NARUC "Gas Distribution Rate Design Manual":

"Utility ratemaking has never been an exact science. The rate structure for a utility should normally be designed to recover the total allowed revenue requirement of the utility, including a fair rate of return. While cost is an important factor in ratemaking, actual rates are often designed to incorporate numerous other factors, including technological, economic, regulatory, political, promotional and social."

Chapter 3 of the Manual is devoted to the discussion of another theory for setting rates called "value of service." Page 61 states,

"Setting rates based on value of service bears little relationship to setting them based on cost of service.

. . . When using value of service principles, we normally look . . . to the cost of alternatives available to the customer. Therefore, the value of service to 'heat only' customers would be the cost of a reasonably acceptable alternative means of providing heat."

At the current winter rates charged by NGC for "heat only" gas customers, consumers heating a home with natural gas will pay \$335 per year or 53% more than the cost to run an electric heat pump. (Exhibit CAPD, Schedule 14). This demonstrates that some current residential rates are 53% too high when measured by the "value of service" approach. This study is based on the same assumptions used by NGC in response to CAPD discovery request item 12 with the exception that the analysis has been corrected to include the effects of NGC's \$8 monthly customer service charge, Metro Nashville's 5.83% franchise fee surcharge,

and the 1% surcharge for NGC's incentive plan bonus.

The current disparity between electric and gas rates should concern the TRA and the Company. The potential loss of customers to alternative energy sources such as electricity would mean the loss of revenues which are contributing to fixed costs. This potential loss also concerns the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division. The loss of these customers would force other customers to pay the remaining fixed costs.

This point is validated by Mr. Fleenor's statement on page 4 of his testimony that "Value of service considerations rest on the premise that the value of a utility service to a consumer cannot be greater than the cost to that consumer of an equally satisfactory alternate service. Value of service is an important factor in designing and costing residential, commercial and industrial gas rates." Since residential rates are currently higher than a satisfactory alternate service, i.e., a heat pump, current residential rates are in fact priced **above** the value of service for some consumers.

Q. What are some other facts that indicate the unreasonableness of NGC's proposed rates?

While NGC's current rates for residential service are above the value of the service, NGC has proposed a **37% increase** in **residential** service rates. (Schedule 13) In addition, NGC has proposed a 2% **reduction** for **industrial** customers. There is no evidence that the rates for industrial customers are above the **value of service**.

Α.

In fact, NGC claims that, "The industrial market is the most volatile and value responsive sector since gas often competes with other fuels on a daily or monthly basis" (Direct Testimony of Chuck Fleenor, Page 5). However, when we inquired as to how often the industrial customers switched to other alternatives, we were told that these customers have remained on the system as much as possible. NGC indicated that the only time these customers left the system in the last three years was for 24 days in January of 2001. That relatively brief interruption in service was caused by "supply or capacity" and "storage level" events, not by the relative cost of energy (CAPD Discovery Request No. 10).

- Q. How expensive will gas heat be if NGC gets the rate increase it has requested?
- A. Schedule 14a shows that gas heat could cost 79% more than heat provided from a heat pump. If gas costs stay close to

today's rates (a decrease of 13.8 cents per therm from the 66.8 cents shown in Exhibit CWF-2), gas rates will only be 60% higher than heat pump rates as shown on Schedule 14b.

Q. What is your recommendation for designing rates?

5 A. The CAPD proposes that the deficiency in the projected cost of service be allocated as shown on Exhibit CAPD, Schedule 13.

Using any reasonable analysis, the costs of operating a heat pump are now less than the costs of operating a gas furnace. Raising rates for residential consumers will only hinder efforts to retain NGC's current customer base. Nashville Gas Company's current residential rates are higher than the value of service. The CAPD does not find any conclusive evidence to support a disproportionate increase in residential rates at this time. We therefore request the TRA to avoid increasing NGC's service charges for residential and commercial consumers any more than absolutely necessary. To do so would not only cost consumers, but would also hurt the future of NGC.

Another important factor in comparing NGC's rates to competitive rates is the fact that electric rates in North Carolina are 19% higher than Nashville Electric Service rates and rates in South

1	Carolina are 30% higher than Nashville Electric Service rates.
2	Therefore, what may be done in the Carolinas may not be
3	relevant in Tennessee. Since TVA power is much less expensive,
4	more attention must be paid to the potential impacts of alternative
5	sources of heat in Tennessee.

- Q. How much more will gas heat cost if the TRA adopts therates as proposed by the CAPD?
- A. Schedule 14c shows that gas heat will cost 40% more than a heat pump. We certainly hope that the relatively high cost of gas will continue to decrease.
- Q. What adjustments to the cost of service are being proposed?

 A. I will explain four adjustments to the cost of service as projected by NGC for revenues, uncollectible expense, accumulated depreciation, and gas inventory. These adjustments also caused changes in forfeited discounts revenue, uncollectible expense, and working capital.
- Q. Would you explain the revenue adjustment to correct for
 billing errors that occurred within the test year?
- 19 A. Yes. Certain billing errors were detected and corrected during the
 20 test year ending December 31, 2002. The correction of these
 21 errors is quantified in response to CAPD Request No. 36 which
 22 shows that sales and transportation volumes as corrected yield

about \$80,651 more revenues. These volumes were incorporated into Exhibit CAPD, Schedule 13. This adjustment **reduces** revenue requirements by about \$80,839.

Α.

The projected gross profit from base rates and service charges for NGC under current rates after this adjustment is about \$82,266,000 as shown on Line 1 of Schedule 6.

Q. Would you explain the adjustment to uncollectible expenses?

Yes. Uncollectible expenses were adjusted to include the total projected uncollectible expense for the attrition year. The total uncollectible expense ratio was computed by taking the total net write-offs for the last three calendar years as a ratio of gross revenues excluding transportation customers as shown on Exhibit CAPD, Schedule 11. This adjustment is based on the same data used by NGC as shown on page 47 of prefiled data item No. 25. The results are incorporated into the cost of service and revenue conversion factor as summarized in Exhibit CAPD, Schedules 8 and 11. This adjustment **increases** revenue requirements by about \$334,000 depending on the cost of capital variations caused by the lead lag adjustment of this item.

Q. Would you explain the adjustment to accumulated depreciation?

- 1 A. Yes. NGC's calculation on page 125 of prefiled item No. 25
 2 included a math error. The corrected formula for the April 30,
 3 2003 balance in accumulated depreciation yields an increase of
 4 \$2,694,437 as included in Exhibit CAPD, Schedule 2. This
 5 adjustment **reduces** revenue requirements by about \$387,000
 6 depending on the cost of capital variations caused by the lead lag
 7 adjustment of this item.
- Q. Would you explain the adjustment to Gas Inventories on
 Exhibit CAPD, Schedule 3?
- The projected gas inventories balance was reduced by Α. 10 \$4,697,742 to reflect the decrease in actual gas costs added to 11 inventory through June 2003 and market projections for the 12 attrition year as of July 2003. This calculation was prepared by 13 NGC at our request to revise page 107 of prefile Data Request 14 15 #25. A similar adjustment was made to the cost of gas to reflect associated impacts on forfeited discounts revenues, 16 uncollectible expenses, and working capital requirements. These 17 adjustments **reduce** the revenue requirements by about \$584,000 18 19 depending on the cost of capital variations caused by the lead lag 20 adjustment of this item.
- 21 Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?
- 22 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:

APPLICATION OF NASHVILLE GAS COMPANY, A

DIVISION OF PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY,

INC., FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF ITS RATES AND

CHARGES, THE APPROVAL OF REVISED TARIFFS AND THE APPROVAL OF REVISED SERVICE REGULATIONS

DOCKET NO. 03-00313

AFFIDAVIT

I, Dan McCormac, Coordinator of Analysts, for the Consumer Advocate & Protection Division of the Attorney General's Office, hereby certify that the attached Direct Testimony represents my opinion in the above-referenced case and the opinion of the Consumer Advocate & Protection Division.

Daniel W. Nelow

Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of August 2003.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires: Sept 24, 2005

EXHIBIT CAPD

Nashville Gas Company Index to Exhibits For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

	Exhibit No.
Results of Operations	1
Comparative Rate Base	2
Comparative Working Capital	3
Working Capital Expense Lag	4
Lead Lag Results	5
Income Statement at Current Rates	6
Income Statement at Proposed Rates	7
Operation & Maintenance Expense	8
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	9
Excise and Income Tax	10
Revenue Conversion Factor	11
Cost of Capital	12
Transportation Rates and Revenue Summary	
Nashville Energy Prices	13
Nashville Lifelgy Flices	14

Nashville Gas Company Results of Operations For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line				
<u>No.</u>	5.4.5	CAPD	Company E/	Difference
1	Rate Base	258,661,730 A/	266,172,310	(7,510,580)
2	Operating Income at Present Rates	15,670,653 B/	15,983,418	(312,765)
3	Earned Rate of Return	6.06%	6.00%	0.05%
4	Fair Rate of Return	6.50% c/	10.23%	-3.73%
5	Required Operating Income	16,813,012	27,229,427	(10,416,415)
6	Operating Income Deficiency	1,142,359	11,246,009	(10,103,650)
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.640709_D/	1.629070	0.011639
8	Revenue Deficiency	1,874,279	18,320,536	(16,446,257)

A/ Schedule 2, line 11

B/ Schedule 6, line 11

C/ Schedule 12, line 4

D/ Schedule 11, line 10

E/ Company Forecast

Nashville Gas Company Comparative Rate Base For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line		0.177		
<u>No.</u>	Lielle - Di O	CAPD	CompanyB/	Difference
1	Utility Plant in Service	468,507,509	468,507,509	-
2	Construction Work in Progress	6,536,531	6,536,531	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	Working Capital	11,003,013_A/	15,819,156	(4,816,143)
4	Total Additions	486,047,053	490,863,196	(4,816,143)
5	Accumulated Depreciation	199,411,506	196,717,069	2,694,437 C/
6	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	23,313,096	23,313,096	-
7	Customer Advances for Construction	187,175	187,175	
8	Contributions In Aid of Construction	4,473,546	4,473,546	-
9	Pre-1971 Unamortized Investment Tax Credit			-
10	Total Deductions	227,385,323	224,690,886	2,694,437
11	Rate Base	258,661,730	266,172,310	(7,510,580)

A/ Schedule 3, Line 13

B/ Company Forecast

C/ W/P DWM-2 and response to TRA Staff DR #127

Nashville Gas Company Comparative Working Capital For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

-	CAPD	Company c/	Difference
Cash	16,131	16,131	-
Materials and Supplies	539,281	539,281	· -
Gas Inventories	19,160,173 A/	23,857,915	(4,697,742)
Prepayments	1,000,306	1,000,306	
Other Accounts Receivable	11,217	11,217	· <u>-</u>
Total Additions	20,727,108	25,424,850	(4,697,742)
Unpaid Materials and Supplies	62,009	62,009	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Unpaid CWIP	44,106	44,106	- -
Customer Deposits	3,868,378	3,868,378	-
Other Liabilities	11,854	11,854	
Lead-Lag Study	5,737,748 B/	5,619,347	118,401
Total Deductions	9,724,095	9,605,694	118,401
Working Capital	11,003,013	15,819,156	(4,816,143)
	Gas Inventories Prepayments Other Accounts Receivable Total Additions Unpaid Materials and Supplies Unpaid CWIP Customer Deposits Other Liabilities Lead-Lag Study Total Deductions	Cash16,131Materials and Supplies539,281Gas Inventories19,160,173 A/Prepayments1,000,306Other Accounts Receivable11,217Total Additions20,727,108Unpaid Materials and Supplies62,009Unpaid CWIP44,106Customer Deposits3,868,378Other Liabilities11,854Lead-Lag Study5,737,748 B/Total Deductions9,724,095	Cash 16,131 16,131 Materials and Supplies 539,281 539,281 Gas Inventories 19,160,173 A/ 23,857,915 Prepayments 1,000,306 1,000,306 Other Accounts Receivable 11,217 11,217 Total Additions 20,727,108 25,424,850 Unpaid Materials and Supplies 62,009 62,009 Unpaid CWIP 44,106 44,106 Customer Deposits 3,868,378 3,868,378 Other Liabilities 11,854 11,854 Lead-Lag Study 5,737,748 B/ 5,619,347 Total Deductions 9,724,095 9,605,694

A/ NGC's revised response to pre-filed request #25, p. 107 (W/P MDC-1)

B/ Schedule 5, Line 7

C/ Company W/P WC-1

Nashville Gas Company Working Capital Expense Lag For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line				
No.	<u>-</u>	Amount A/	Lag A/	Dollar Days
1	Salaries and Wages	17,721,485	11	194,936,334
2	Purchased Gas Expense	115,869,340	41	4,707,771,284
3	Odorant Cost	43,737	41	1,777,034
4	Uncollectible Accounts Expense	863,207	432	372,508,260
5	Pension Expense	574,016	1	591,236
6	Injuries and Damages	872,844	· <u>-</u>	391,230
7	Employee Benefits - Insurance	2,846,474	7	19,099,841
8	Materials and Supplies	324,232	-	19,099,041
. 9	Transportation	927,497	6	5,518,607
10	Long Term Incentive Plan	545,089	867	472,690,279
11	Salary Investment Plan	490,125	11	5,268,844
12	SEBP - Salary Continuation			3,200,044
13	AGA Dues	81,460	33	2,695,511
14	Other Operation and Maintenance Exp.	9,969,248	7	69,385,969
15	Depreciation Expense	18,232,156	•	09,300,909
16	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	8,938,625	169	1,512,594,123
17	Investment Tax Credit Amortization	(150,566)	100	1,012,094,123
18	Federal Income Tax - Current	1,702,805	38	- 64,706,574
19	Federal Income Tax - Deferred	2,804,796	-	04,700,374
20	State Income Tax - Current	751,510	61	45,654,259
21	State Income Tax - Deferred	150,180	-	40,004,209
22	Interest Expense - Short-term Debt	413,859	(18)	- (7,449,458)
23	Interest Expense - Long-term Debt	7,785,718	76	595,140,291
24	Common Equity	8,613,436	45	387,604,603
	·		10	307,004,003
25	Total Cost of Service	200,371,273	42.17	8,450,493,591
26	Delhi Ozati (Ozat			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
20	Daily Cost of Service	548,962		

Nashville Gas Company Lead Lag Results For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line No.		•
1	Revenue Lag	Amount 35.93 A/
2	Expense Lag	8/
3	Net Lag	(6.24)
4	Daily Cost of Service	548,962_c/
5	Operating Funds Advanced	(3,427,819)
6	Incidental Collections	2,309,929_A/
7	Lead Lag Results	(5,737,748)

A/ Company Forecast

B/ Schedule 4, Line 25

C/ Schedule 4, Line 26

Nashville Gas Company Income Statement at Current Rates For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line				
<u>No.</u>	Povonuo for distribut	CAPD	Company B/	Difference
1	Revenues for distribution services	82,265,645	82,308,461	(42,816) c/
2	Odorant Cost	43,737	· •	43,737 D/
3	Other Operation and Maintenance	34,975,013 A/	35,314,324	(339,311)
4	Interest on Customer Deposits	232,103	232,103	
5	Depreciation and Amortization Exp.	18,232,156	18,232,156	. -
6	Taxes Other Than Income	8,938,625	8,938,625	· <u>-</u>
7,	State Excise Tax	779,513 E/	638,335	141,178
8	Federal Income Tax	3,741,918_E/_	3,317,573	424,345
9	Total Operating Expense	66,943,065	66,673,116	269,949
10	AFUDC	348,073	348,073	<u>-</u>
11	Net Operating Income for Return	15,670,653	15,983,418	(312,765)

A/	Schedule	8,	Line	30
----	----------	----	------	----

B/ NGC W/P IS-1 and supporting schedules

80,651 (123,467) (42,816) DWM-3

0.007435 * change in revenues

E/ Schedule 10

C/ Increase in margin for billing adj.

Decrease in forfeited discounts

D/ W/P ODOR-1

Nashville Gas Company Income Statement at Current Rates For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line				
No.		CAPD	Company B/	Difference
1	Operating Revenues	198,134,985	214,864,605	(16,729,620) c/
2	Cost of Gas	115,869,340	132,556,144	(16,686,804) c/
3	Odorant Cost	43,737	-	43,737 D/
4	Other Operation and Maintenance	34,975,013 A/	35,314,324	(339,311)
5	Interest on Customer Deposits	232,103	232,103	· · · · · · -
6	Depreciation and Amortization Exp.	18,232,156	18,232,156	
7	Taxes Other Than Income	8,938,625	8,938,625	-
8	State Excise Tax	779,513 E/	638,335	141,178
9	Federal Income Tax	3,741,918_E/	3,317,573	424,345
10	Total Operating Expense	182,812,405	199,229,260	(16,416,855)
11	AFUDC	348,073	348,073	
12	Net Operating Income for Return	15,670,653	15,983,418	(312,765)

A/	Schedule	8,	Line 30

B/ NGC W/P IS-1 and supporting schedules

by 1400 with 15-1 and supporting schedules		
C/ Decrease in COG	(16,686,804)	DWM-1
Increase in margin for billing adj. Decrease in forfeited discounts	80,651 (123,467)	DWM-3 0.007435 * change in revenues
D/ W/P ODOR-1	(16,729,620)	

E/ Schedule 10

Nashville Gas Company Income Statement at Proposed Rates For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line No.	Operating Revenues	Current Rates A/ 198,134,985	Adjustments 1,888,215 B/	Proposed Rates 200,023,200
2	Cost of Gas	115,869,340	-	115,869,340
3	Odorant Cost	43,737	- -	43,737
4	Other Operations and Maintenance	34,975,013	8,561 c/	34,983,574
5	Interest on Customer Deposits	232,103	-	232,103
6	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	18,232,156	-	18,232,156
7	Taxes Other Than Income	8,938,625		8,938,625
8	State Excise Tax	779,513	122,177 c/	901,690
9	Federal Income Tax	3,741,918	615,117 c/	4,357,035
10	Total Operating Expense	182,812,405	745,855	183,558,261
11	AFUDC	348,073		348,073
12	Net Operating Income for Return	15,670,653	1,142,359	16,813,012

A/	Schedule	6a
----	----------	----

B/ Operating revenues from rate adjustments Forfeited Discounts caused by rate adjustments Total

1,874,279
13 035

1,888,215

C/ Retention conversion factor effects (Sch. 11)

Nashville Gas Company Operation and Maintenance Expenses For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line				
No.		CAPD	Company	B/ Difference
1	Salaries and Wages	12,857,249	12,857,249	. Dincience
2	Allocated Salaries & Wages	4,864,236	5,251,130	(386,894) c/
3	Long-Term Incentive Pay	545,089	545,089	(000,094) C/
4	LNG Operations	88,614	88,614	
5	LNG Maintenance	58,719	58,719	-
6	Distribution - Operations	674,507	674,507	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
7	Distribution - CIE	422,100	422,100	
8	Distribution - Maintenance	2,672,801	2,672,801	.
9	Distribution - Customer Acc.	1,146,149	1,146,149	-
10	GTI Funding	-	185,409	(185,409) D/
11	Customer Service	7,044	7,044	(100,409) 0/
12	Sales Expense	183,347	183,347	·
13	Advertising Expense	466,783	466,783	
14	Uncollectible Expense	854,646	A/ 565,059	289,587
15	Pension Expense	574,016	660,272	(86,256) E/
16	Injuries and Damages	1,128,289	1,128,289	(00,200) E/
17	Employee Benefits - Insurance	3,533,794	3,455,804	77,990 F/
18	Employee Savings Plan	595,679	595,679	77,550 F/
19	Other Employee Benefits	165,777	165,777	_
20	Property Insurance	89,936	89,936	·
21	A&G Office Supply Expense	1,925,015	1,925,015	<u>_</u>
22	Reg. Comm. Expense	80,502	80,502	_
23	Outside Services	1,801,693	1,850,021	(48,328) G/
24	Misc. General	2,018,069	2,018,069	(10,020) 0/
25 26	Misc. Expense	8,680	8,680	
26	Rents	34,642	34,642	_
27 28	Training	36,114	36,114	_
	Transferred Credit	(1,858,476)	(1,858,476)	
29	Corporate Office Allocation Adjust.	-		
30	Total O&M Expense	34,975,013	35,314,324	(339,311)
	- -			(000,011)

A/ Uncollectible ratio x non-transportation revenues See W/P DWM-1	(0.004534	x	188,497,051)
See MAL DAMM-1				,

B/ Company Forecast

C/ PF Item #25, p. 32 & #44, SW7, p7

D/ Exhibit MDC-4

E/ MHC PEN-1

F/ MHC AA-1

G/ MHC U-2

Nashville Gas Company Taxes Other Than Income Taxes For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line				
<u>No.</u> 1	Property Tax	CAPD 5,366,668	CompanyA/ 5,366,668	Difference -
2	State Gross Receipts Tax	1,166,379	1,166,379	-
3	Payroll Taxes	1,417,029	1,417,029	-
4	Franchise Tax	702,955	702,955	-
5	Other General Taxes	(1,906)	(1,906)	-
6	TRA Utility Fee	287,500	287,500	_
7	Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	8,938,625	8,938,625	_

Nashville Gas Company Excise and Income Taxes For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line		Attrition	Proposed Rates Attrition
<u>No.</u>	Operating Day	Amount	Amount E/
•	Operating Revenues	198,134,985 A/	200,023,200
2 3 4 5 6	Cost of Gas Odorant Cost Other Operation and Maintenance Depreciation and Amortization Expense Taxes Other Than Income	115,869,340 A/ 43,737 A/ 34,975,013 A/ 18,232,156 A/ 8,938,625 B/	115,869,340 43,737 34,983,574 18,232,156 8,938,625
7 8 9 10	NOI Before Excise and Income Taxes less Interest on Customer Deposits plus AFUDC less Interest Expense	20,076,114 232,103 c/ 348,073 c/ 8,199,577 D/	21,955,767 232,103 348,073 8,199,577 D/
11 12	Pre-tax Book Income Schedule M Adjustments	11,992,507	13,872,161
13 14	Excise Taxable Income Excise Tax Rate	11,992,507 6.50%	13,872,161 6.50%
15	Excise Tax	779,513	901,690
16 17 18	Pre-tax Book Income Excise Tax Schedule M Adjustments	11,992,507 779,513	13,872,161 901,690
19 20	FIT Taxable Income FIT Rate	11,212,994 35.00%	12,970,470 35.00%
21 22 23 24	Federal Income Tax Payable ITC Amortization Amortization of Excess Deferred FIT Federal Income Tax Deferred	3,924,548 150,566 C/ 32,064 C/	4,539,665 150,566 32,064
25	Federal Income Tax Expense	3,741,918	4,357,035
			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

A/ Schedule 6a

B/ Schedule 9, Line 7

C/ Company Forecast

D/ Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt (Schedule 2, Line 11 * Schedule 12 Line 1 + Line 2)

E/ Schedule 7

Nashville Gas Company Revenue Conversion Factor For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line			
<u>No.</u> 1	Operating Revenues	Amount	Balance 1.000000
2	Add: Forfeited Discounts	0.007435 A/	0.007435
3	Balance		1.007435
4	Uncollectible Ratio	0.004534 B/	0.004568
5	Balance		1.002867
6	State Excise Tax	0.065000 c/	0.065186
7	Balance		0.937681
8	Federal Income Tax	0.350000 c/	0.328188
9	Balance	_	0.609493
10	Revenue Conversion Factor (1 / Line 9)	, =	1.640709

A/ Filing Guidelines Item 25, P. 42

B/ Filing Guidelines Item 25, P. 47 adjusted to include all uncollectibles (\$2,132,710 / \$470,411,854) per DWM-1 C/ Statutory rate

Nashville Gas Company Cost of Capital For the 12 Months Ending October 31, 2004

Line No.		Ratio	Cost	Weighted Cost
1	Short Term Debt	12.20%	1.30%	0.16%
2	Long Term Debt	44.00%	6.83%	3.01%
3	Stockholder's Equity	43.80%	7.60%	3.33%
4	Total	100.00%		6.50%

Source: Exhibit SB

Nashville Gas Company Transportation Rates and Revenue Summary For the 12 Months Ending 10/31/04

03-00313 Exhibit CAPD Schedule 13 Page 1 of 2

<u>Residential</u>	(1) Curren <u>Rates</u>	(2) NGC t Proposed <u>Rates</u>	(3) NGC % <u>Incr.</u>	(4) CAPD Propose <u>Rates</u>	(5) d CAPD <u>Revenues</u>	(6) CAPD % <u>Increase</u>
Winter Bills/ Customer Charge Summer Bills/ Customer Charge Winter Summer Discounted (A/C rate) Total rate (1)	\$8.00 \$8.00 2.9884 2.6255 2.2255	\$12.00 3.8100 3.2998	50	% \$8.0 % 2.980 % 2.620 <u>%</u> 2.620	7,528,448 24,976,828 6,230,426	18% 0% 0% 0% <u>18%</u> 2.1%
Commercial Rate 302, 332 Bills/ Customer Charge Rate 352, 362 Bills/ Customer Charge Winter Summer Total rate (2)	22.00 22.00 3.4839 3.1233	22.00 75.00 3.8100 3.2998	09 2419 99 69 79	% \$38.00 % 3.5000 <u>%</u> 3.1200	12,350 17,077,092	10% 73% 0% <u>0%</u> 1.8%
Firm Ind. Sales Bills/ Customer Charge Demand dekatherms 1-1,500 1,501-4,000 4,001-9,000 9,001 & Over Total rate (3)	0.00 8.0000 0.8918 0.8195 0.5904 0.2530	300.00 8.0000 0.8000 0.5600 0.4000 0.2400	#DIV/0! 0% -10% -32% -32% <u>-5%</u> 9%	6 8.0000 6 0.8000 6 0.7300 6 0.5300 6 0.2400	649,600 458,904 99,335 1,273	#DIV/0! 0% -10% -11% -10% -5% 11.1%
Customer Charge 1-1,500 1,501-4,000 4,001-9,000 9,001 & Over Total rate (4)	300.00 0.8034 0.7382 0.5319 0.2280	300.00 0.8000 0.5600 0.4000 0.2400	0% 0% -24% -25% <u>5%</u> -15%	0.8000 0.7300 0.5300 0.2400	11,100 23,766 28,795 22,909 <u>801</u> 87,371	0% 0% -1% 0% <u>5%</u> -0.5%
Firm Transportation Bills/ Customer Charge Billing Determinates 1-1,500 1,501-4,000 4,001-9,000 9,001 & Over Total rate (7F)	0.00 8.0000 0.8918 0.8195 0.5904 0.2530	300.00 8.0000 0.8000 0.5600 0.4000 0.2400	#DIV/0! 0% -10% -32% -32% <u>-5%</u> 1%	300.00 8.0000 0.8000 0.7300 0.5300 0.2400	333,600 1,313,800 940,701 430,723 111,484 12,706 3,143,015	#DIV/0! 0% -10% -11% -10% -5% 5.3%
Interruptible Transportation Customer Charge 1-1,500 1,501-4,000 4,001-9,000 9,001 & Over Total rate (7I) Total Industrial	300.00 0.8034 0.7382 0.5319 0.2280	300.00 0.8000 0.5600 0.4000 0.2400	0% 0% -24% -25% <u>5%</u> - 9%	300.00 0.8000 0.7300 0.5300 0.2400	195,300 686,952 748,799 606,602 <u>883,239</u> <u>3,120,892</u> 7,767,389	0% 0% -1% 0% <u>5%</u> 1.0%
Special Contracts Resale Service Demand Commodity Total rate (10)	752,415 0.00 8.0000 0.7000	752,415 0.00 8.0000 0.7000	0% 0% <u>0%</u>	752,415 0.00 8.0000 0.7000	752,415 0 124,800 <u>34,533</u> 159,333	0% 0% <u>0%</u>
Total sales & transp.	80,450,771	18,188,314	23%	1,740,791	82,191,562	2.2%
Other Revenues Revenues before forfeited discounts Forfeited Discounts Total Revenues	360,851 80,811,622 1.455,130 82,266,752	133,620 18,321,934 135,230 18,457,164	9%	133,620 1,874,411 135,230 2,009,641	494,471 82,686,033 1,590,360 84,276,393	37.0% 2.3%

Nashville Gas Company **Transportation Rates and Revenues** For the 12 Months Ending 10/31/04

03-00313 Exhibit CAPD Schedule 13 of 2

	(4)	(0)									Page 2 of 2
	(1)	(2) Project	(3) ted	(4)	(5)	(6) NGC	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Projecte	d Bills A/ a	ind Cur	rent (4/1/200:	3) Propo	sed (11/1/2004)	%	Dillina	rrent Cos	st at \$5.60	-
	<u>DKTH A</u>	DTH Dem	and Rates					Billing		-	Current
Residential						1 increndes	Incr.	Rate	Rate	Gas Cos	<u>st Gross Revs.</u>
Winter Bills/ Customer	Charge	686,03	32 \$8.0	0 \$5,488,2	56 \$14.0	0 \$9,604,448	3 759	% \$8.0	0 000		
Summer Bills/ Custom	er Charge	941,0	56 \$8.0					,	7 - 1 - 1	_ *	\$5,488,256
Winter Summer	8,381,48	6	2.988	,_,	33 3.810					, +	7,528,448
Discounted (A/C rate)	2,378,02		2.625		05 3.299						, ,
	3,52		2.225			8 <u>11,638</u>	489				
rotarrate (1)	10,763,03	ŏ		44,315,29	91	60,689,227	379		0.7000	66,720,07	
Commercial										00,120,01	0 111,035,363
Rate 302, 332 Bills/ Customer	Charge	199,74	8 22.00	1 204 45							
Rate 352, 362 Bills/ Customer	Charge	32				.,,					0 4,394,456
Winter	4,879,16		3.4839			,					7,150
Summer	2,045,22		3.1233			-,,	9%				
Total rate (2)	6,924,39	7	0200	27,788,00		8 <u>6,748,843</u> 29,757,308	6%		6.1600		18,986,465
<u> </u>				,,,,,,,,	•	29,737,306	7%	•		42,654,286	70,442,289
Firm Ind. Sales/Bills					'	'					
Bills/ Customer Charge		69			0 300.00	207,000	#DIV/0!	\$0.00	0.00	_	
Demand dekatherms			0 8.0000	649,60			0%	7			U
1-1,500 1,501-4,000	573,630		0.8918	,	3 0.8000		-10%			441,800	1,001,400
4,001-9,000	136,076		0.8195	111,51	4 0.5600		-32%			3,330,324	-,,,
9,001 & Over	2,401		0.5904	1,41	8 0.4000		-32%		5.7952	789,418 13,914	700,002
Total rate (3)	<u>0</u> 712,107		0.2530		<u>0</u> 0.2400	<u>o</u>	-5%		0.7002	0	.0,002
7 otal 14to (5)	112,107			1,274,09	5	1,392,667	9%			4,575,456	
Interruptible Sales										,,,,,,,,,,	0,040,001
Customer Charge		37	300.00	11 100	00000	/					
1-1,500	29,707		0.8034	11,100		,	0%		\$0.00	0	11,100
1,501-4,000	39,445		0.7382	23,867 29,118			0%	6.8396	6.0362	179,317	203,184
4,001-9,000	43,225		0.5319	22,991		,000	-24%	6.7700	6.0318	237,924	267,043
9,001 & Over	3,337		0.2280	761		,	-25%	6.5576	6.0257	260,461	283,452
Total rate (4)	115,714		0.2.200	87,837		<u>801</u>	<u>5%</u>	6.2393	6.0113	20,060	20,821
				07,007		75,046	-15%			697,762	785,599
Firm Transportation											
Bills/ Customer Charge		1,112	0.00	0	300.00	333,600	#DIV/0!	e 0 00	00.00		
Billing Determinates		164,225	8.0000	1,313,800		1,313,800	ان/۱۷/ناط# 0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0
	1,175,876		0.8918	1,048,646		940,701	-10%	13.4409 0.9167	5.4409	893,530	2,207,330
1,501-4,000	590,032		0.8195	483,531	0.5600	330,418	-32%	0.8400	0.0249	29,279	1,077,926
4,001-9,000 9,001 & Over	210,348		0.5904	124,189		84,139	-32%	0.6048	0.0205 0.0144	12,096	495,627
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52,943		0.2530	<u>13,395</u>	0.2400	12,706	<u>-</u> 5%	0.2530	0.0000	3,029	127,218
rotarrate (7F)	2,029,199			2,983,561		3,015,364	1%	0.2000	0.0000	<u>0</u> 937,934	<u>13,395</u>
Interruptible Transporta	ation									007,554	3,921,496
Customer Charge		651	300.00	405.000	000.55						
1-1,500	858,690	031	0.8034	195,300	300.00	195,300	0%	\$300.00	\$0.00	. 0	195,300
1,501-4,000 1	,025,752		0.7382	689,872	0.8000	686,952	0%	0.8283	0.0249	21,381	711,253
	,144,532		0.7302	757,210	0.5600	574,421	-24%	0.7587	0.0205	21,028	778,238
9,001 & Over 3	,680,162		0.2280	608,777 839,077	0.4000	457,813	-25%	0.5463	0.0144	16,481	625,258
Total rate (7I) 6	,709,136		0.2200	3,090,235	0.2400	883,239	<u>5%</u>	0.2280	0.0000	<u>0</u>	839,077
Total Industrial 9	,566,156	2,490		7,435,729		2,797,725	<u>-9%</u>			<u>58,891</u>	3,149,126
Cmanist C		•		. ,,		7,280,802	-2%			6,270,043	13,705,772
Special Contracts 2	,852,699			752,415		752,415	0%				
Resale Service							0 70			0	752,415
Demand		36	0.00	0	0.00	. 0		\$0.00	\$0.00	0	
Commodity	40.200	15,600		124,800	8.0000	124,800	0%		5.44093	0 84,879	0
Total rate (10)	49,333 49,333		0.7000	34,533	0.7000	34,533	0%		5.79790	286,028	209,679
T () D	888,306			<u>159,333</u>		159,333	0%			370,906	320,561 530,330
·•	200,000				l	`				<u> </u>	<u>530,239</u>
Total sales & trans. 30,	155,623	888,306		80 <i>1E</i> 0 774	Increase	00.05					
	591,034 τ	Transported)		80,450,771	18,188,314	98,639,085	23%			116,015,307	196,466,079
18.	564,589 (Sold)		Y							,,0
Other Revenues				<u>360,85</u> 1	133,620	101 171	270/				
Revenues before forfeited	discounts			80,811,622		<u>494,471</u> 99,133,556	37% 22%			<u>0</u>	<u>360,851</u>
Forfeited Discounts				<u>1,455,130</u>	135,230	1,590,360	23%		1		196,826,930
Total Revenues			i	82,266,752	_	00,723,916	<u>9%</u> 22%			0	<u>1,455,130</u>
A/ Rev-1 PE#25 n 2 and	Falan e e e					-,0,010	~ ~ /0		1	16,015,307	198,282,060

A/ Rev-1, PF#25, p. 3 and Exhibit CWF-3 as adjusted for billing errors CAPD DR#36. B/ Tariff Sheet No. 1 C/ Exhibit CWF-2

Nashville Energy Prices (HEAT ONLY) **At Current Winter Rates**

		Heat <u>Pump</u>	Gas <u>Heat</u>	Extra Cost <u>For Gas</u>
1	Efficiency	185%	80%	
2	Energy used	10,157 кwн	800 Therms	
3	Total Cost	\$630	\$964	\$335
4	Extra Cost (%)			<u>53%</u>

Electric Heat Pump / KWH	BTU <u>Content</u> 3,413	Utilization <u>Efficiency</u> 185%	Net BTUs <u>Available</u> 6,300	Current Nashville <u>Prices A/</u> \$0.0620	/kwh
Gas / therm One therm =	100,000	80%	80,000	\$1.2056	/thm
			12.70	KWH's	

A/ Reflects incremental prices only (Cost of electricity is based on first 2,000 KWH and excludes the minimum bill, but gas cost includes minimum bill)

NES 736-6900 ($$5.24 + 6.178 \phi$ /KWH for first 2,000 -- 6.817ϕ over 2000 as of 8/1/03)

Nashville Gas Current tariff (TRA Tariff Sheet No. 1):

Con make the state of the state	<i>)</i> .		
Gas rate (per therm)	*	0.2988	Excludes WNA adjustment
Incentive Plan Surcharge			Excided WWA adjustment
PGA rate		0.0104	
FGA fale		0.7099	
14.		1.0192	
Minimum bill per month	\$8.00		
Normal therms used per month			
	67		
Minimum bill per therm		0.1200	
Subtotal		1.1392	
Franchise fee surcharge		1.1392	
		<u>5.83%</u>	
Total rate per therm		\$ 1.2056	

Nashville Energy Prices (HEAT ONLY) At NGC's Proposed Winter Rates

		Heat <u>Pump</u>	Gas <u>Heat</u>	Extra Cost <u>For Gas</u>
1	Efficiency	185%	80%	
2	Energy used	10,157 кwн	800 Therms	
3	Total Cost	\$630	\$1,125	\$496
4	Extra Cost (%)			<u>79%</u>

Electric Heat Pump / KWH Gas / therm One therm =	BTU <u>Content</u> 3,413 100,000	Utilization <u>Efficiency</u> 185% 80%	Net BTUs <u>Available</u> 6,300 <u>80,000</u> 12.70	Current Nashville <u>Prices A/</u> \$0.0620 \$1.4070 KWH's	/kwh /thm
--	---	---	--	---	--------------

A/ Reflects incremental prices only (Cost of electricity is based on first 2,000 KWH and excludes the minimum bill, but gas cost includes minimum bill)

NES 736-6900 ($$5.24 + 6.178 \phi$ /KWH for first 2,000 -- 6.817 ϕ over 2000 as of 8/1/03)

Nashville Gas per Exhibit CWF-2 and TRA Tariff Sheet No. 1:

Gas rate (per therm) Incentive Plan Surcharge PGA rate	iii Srieet No. 1;	0.3810 0.0104 <u>0.7280</u>	Excludes WNA adjustment TRA Tariff Sheet No. 1
Minimum bill per month Normal therms used per month Minimum bill per therm Subtotal Franchise fee surcharge Total rate per therm	\$14.00 67	1.1194 0.2100 1.3295 5.83% 6.1.4070	

Nashville Energy Prices (HEAT ONLY) At NGC's Proposed Winter Rates minus \$.138 per Therm Market Adjustment

		Heat <u>Pump</u>	Gas <u>Heat</u>	Extra Cost For Gas
1	Efficiency	185%	80%	
2	Energy used	10,157 KWH	800 Therms	
3	Total Cost	\$630	\$1,009	\$379
4	Extra Cost (%)			60%

Electric Heat Pump / KWH Gas / therm One therm =	BTU <u>Content</u> 3,413 100,000	Utilization Efficiency 185% 80%	Net BTUs <u>Available</u> 6,300 <u>80,000</u> 12.70	Current Nashville <u>Prices A/</u> \$0.0620 \$1.2609 KWH's	/kwh /thm
--	---	--	--	---	--------------

A/ Reflects incremental prices only (Cost of electricity is based on first 2,000 KWH and excludes the minimum bill, but gas cost includes minimum bill)

NES 736-6900 (\$5.24 + 6.178¢/KWH for first 2,000 -- 6.817¢ over 2000 as of 8/1/03)

Nashville Gas per Exhibit CWF-2 and TRA Tariff Sheet No. 1:

le Gas per Exhibit CWF-2 and TRA Tarif	f Sheet No. 1		
Gas rate (per therm)			Excludes WNA adjustment
Incentive Plan Surcharge PGA rate		0.0104	TRA Tariff Sheet No. 1
		0.7280	
Market Adjustment (.53668)		<u>-0.1380</u>	
Minimum L 21		0.9814	
Minimum bill per month	\$14.00		
Normal therms used per month	67		
Minimum bill per therm Subtotal		0.2100	
		1.1915	
Franchise fee surcharge		<u>5.83%</u>	
Total rate per therm		<u>\$ 1.2609</u>	

Nashville Energy Prices (HEAT ONLY) At CAPD Proposed Winter Rates minus \$.138 per Therm Market Adjustment

		Heat <u>Pump</u>	Gas <u>Heat</u>	Extra Cost <u>For Gas</u>
1	Efficiency	185%	80%	
2	Energy used	10,157 кwн	800 Therms	
3	Total Cost	\$630	\$881	\$251
4	Extra Cost (%)			<u>40%</u>

	BTU <u>Content</u>	Utilization <u>Efficiency</u>	Net BTUs	Current Nashville	
Electric Heat Pump / KWH	3,413	<u>Linciency</u> 185%	Available 6,300	<u>Prices A/</u> \$0.0620	/kwh
Gas / therm	100,000	80%	80,000	\$1.1009	/thm
One therm =			12.70	KWH's	

A/ Reflects incremental prices only (Cost of electricity is based on first 2,000 KWH and excludes the minimum bill, but gas cost includes minimum bill)

NES 736-6900 ($\$5.24 + 6.178 \phi$ /KWH for first 2,000 -- 6.817 ϕ over 2000 as of 8/1/03)

Nashville Gas per Exhibit CWF-2, TRA Tariff Sheet No. 1, and Schedule 13:

The second of th	tho. I, and Schedule 13:	
Gas rate (per therm)	0.2980 Excl	udes WNA adjustment
Incentive Plan Surcharge		Tariff Sheet No. 1
PGA rate	0.7280	raim oneet No. 1
Market Adjustment (.53668)	-0.1380	
	0.8984	
Minimum bill per month	\$9.45	
Normal therms used per month	67	
Minimum bill per therm	0.1418	
Subtotal	1.0402	
Franchise fee surcharge	5.83%	
Total rate per therm	\$ 1.1009	

\$ 1.1009